

|| Shree Ganeshaya Namah||

NANDINI TEXCOM (INDIA) LIMITED

CIN: L65910GJ1994PLC021165

Reg. Office: B-304, International Commerce Center, Near Kadiwala School, Ring Road, Surat-395002.

Tel:0261-4004596 Email: nandinitexcom@hotmail.com, website: nandinitex.com

Date: 02nd February, 2026

To,
The Listing Department
MSEI LIMITED
205(A), 2nd floor, Piramal Agastya Corporate Park,
Kamani Junction, LBS Road,
Kurla (West), Mumbai – 400070.

Symbol: NANDINI - Series: EQ

Subject: Newspaper Advertisement- Results for the quarter and nine month ended December 31, 2025.

Dear Sir,

Pursuant to Regulations 30 and 47 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we are enclosing herewith the newspaper advertisement for the standalone unaudited Financial Results of Nandini Texcom (India) Limited ('the Company') for quarter and nine months ended on December 31, 2025, published on February 02, 2026 in the following newspapers:

- a. Free Press
- b. Lokmitra

These are also being made available on the Company's website at www.nandinitex.com

This is for your information and records.

Yours Faithfully,
For, Nandini Texcom (India) Limited

PAYAL KRISHAN KHURANA
Company Secretary & Compliance Officer

Editorial

Two faces

In the early hours of January 3, the Donald Trump administration did something no modern American government has openly attempted: it seized a sitting, foreign head of state and flew him to New York to face federal prosecution. The Venezuelan leader, Nicolás Maduro, long indicted in the United States of America on narcotics and related charges, was captured during a US military operation in Caracas and transferred to American custody. The US administration portrayed the raid as a dramatic escalation of its 'war on drugs' campaign and a shortcut around the slow grind of sanctions and diplomacy.

The operation immediately scrambled the geopolitics of the Americas and reignited a debate at home about whether the US can, or should, use military force to address what is ultimately a political problem under the banner of law enforcement. The American Right's fixation on Venezuela and on Maduro long predates this dramatic arrest. For decades, conservative politicians and commentators have used Venezuela as a shorthand for what they describe as the failures of 'socialism' and as a threat to Western security. Maduro's regime has been portrayed not merely as an authoritarian outlier but as evidence of systemic danger to the southern flank of the US. Figures such as then Senator Marco Rubio, now the US secretary of state, and allied conservative media voices have cast the Venezuelan government as both a narco-terrorist network and a proxy for the US's adversaries, arguing that Maduro's removal is necessary to stop drugs as well as defend hemispheric security and counter foreign influence. Drawing on Cold War tropes and the 'troika of tyranny' framing, this narrative has turned Venezuela into a political symbol in domestic culture wars rather than a subject of nuanced foreign policy debate. In the early hours of January 3, the Donald Trump administration did something no modern American government has openly attempted: it seized a sitting, foreign head of state and flew him to New York to face federal prosecution. The Venezuelan leader, Nicolás Maduro, long indicted in the United States of America on narcotics and related charges, was captured during a US military operation in Caracas and transferred to American custody. The US administration portrayed the raid as a dramatic escalation of its 'war on drugs' campaign and a shortcut around the slow grind of sanctions and diplomacy. The operation immediately scrambled the geopolitics of the Americas and reignited a debate at home about whether the US can, or should, use military force to address what is ultimately a political problem under the banner of law enforcement. The American Right's fixation on Venezuela and on Maduro long predates this dramatic arrest. For decades, conservative politicians and commentators have used Venezuela as a shorthand for what they describe as the failures of 'socialism' and as a threat to Western security. Maduro's regime has been portrayed not merely as an authoritarian outlier but as evidence of systemic danger to the southern flank of the US. Figures such as then Senator Marco Rubio, now the US secretary of state, and allied conservative media voices have cast the Venezuelan government as both a narco-terrorist network and a proxy for the US's adversaries, arguing that Maduro's removal is necessary to stop drugs as well as defend hemispheric security and counter foreign influence. Drawing on Cold War tropes and the 'troika of tyranny' framing, this narrative has turned Venezuela into a political symbol in domestic culture wars rather than a subject of nuanced foreign policy debate. President Trump has framed the seizure in similarly expansive terms. In televised remarks, he suggested that the US would temporarily "run" Venezuela during transition and repeatedly gestured toward oil, speaking about "getting the oil flowing" and conversations with US companies eager to re-enter Venezuela. That emphasis has made it easier for critics to argue that the drug case functions as much as a justification as a motive. Maduro's first American stop is not a courtroom but a jail. He is being held at the Metropolitan Detention Center in Brooklyn, a facility notorious for dysfunction and harsh conditions. The facility is also familiar on account of other high-profile federal cases, such as those involving the singers, R. Kelly and Sean 'Diddy' Combs, the infamous narco-trafficker, Joaquin 'El Chapo' Guzmán, the Jeffrey Epstein confidant, Ghislaine Maxwell, and Trump's former lawyer, Michael Cohen. In the early hours of January 3, the Donald Trump administration did something no modern American government has openly attempted: it seized a sitting, foreign head of state and flew him to New York to face federal prosecution. The Venezuelan leader, Nicolás Maduro, long indicted in the United States of America on narcotics and related charges, was captured during a US military operation in Caracas and transferred to American custody. The US administration portrayed the raid as a dramatic escalation of its 'war on drugs' campaign and a shortcut around the slow grind of sanctions and diplomacy. The operation immediately scrambled the geopolitics of the Americas and reignited a debate at home about whether the US can, or should, use military force to address what is ultimately a political problem under the banner of law enforcement. The American Right's fixation on Venezuela and on Maduro long predates this dramatic arrest. For decades, conservative politicians and commentators have used Venezuela as a shorthand for what they describe as the failures of 'socialism' and as a threat to Western security. Maduro's regime has been portrayed not merely as an authoritarian outlier but as evidence of systemic danger to the southern flank of the US. Figures such as then Senator Marco Rubio, now the US secretary of state, and allied conservative media voices have cast the Venezuelan government as both a narco-terrorist network and a proxy for the US's adversaries, arguing that Maduro's removal is necessary to stop drugs as well as defend hemispheric security and counter foreign influence. Drawing on Cold War tropes and the 'troika of tyranny' framing, this narrative has turned Venezuela into a political symbol in domestic culture wars rather than a subject of nuanced foreign policy debate. President Trump has framed the seizure in similarly expansive terms. In televised remarks, he suggested that the US would temporarily "run" Venezuela during transition and repeatedly gestured toward oil, speaking about "getting the oil flowing" and conversations with US companies eager to re-enter Venezuela. That emphasis has made it easier for critics to argue that the drug case functions as much as a justification as a motive. Maduro's first American stop is not a courtroom but a jail. He is being held at the Metropolitan Detention Center in Brooklyn, a facility notorious for dysfunction and harsh conditions. The facility is also familiar on account of other high-profile federal cases, such as those involving the singers, R. Kelly and Sean 'Diddy' Combs, the infamous narco-trafficker, Joaquin 'El Chapo' Guzmán, the Jeffrey Epstein confidant, Ghislaine Maxwell, and Trump's former lawyer, Michael Cohen. The new mayor of New York City, Zohran Mamdani, who was sworn in on New Year's Day, strongly condemned the American operation in Venezuela, calling it a "blatant act of regime change". Mamdani said he called Trump to convey his opposition, adding that he "registered [his] opposition clearly and left it at that." The administration's legal case rests on a familiar premise: accusing Maduro of being the head of a transnational criminal enterprise rather than a legitimate sovereign leader. It draws on a long-running US indictment accusing him and other senior Venezuelan officials of narcotics trafficking.



Dr. Vinod Chandrashekhar Dixit
Free-lance Journalist, Writer & Cartoonist
(dixitpatrakar@yahoo.in)

India's Ahmedabad in Gujarat has been officially confirmed as the host city for the Commonwealth Games 2030 after being approved from the Commonwealth

Sport membership at the General Assembly in Glasgow. Ahmedabad, the vibrant city in Gujarat will now mark a historic moment for India. This is a huge opportunity for the city, bringing with it numerous benefits and infrastructure upgrades.

This is a moment of pride for India and Gujarat. The constant encouragement and vision of Hon'ble Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi in strengthening India's sporting culture, the tireless efforts of Hon'ble Union Home Minister Shri Amit Shah, and the commitment of Chief Minister Shri Bhupendra Patel and Deputy Chief Minister Shri Harsh Sanghavi have together guided India's continuous progress in sports.

Ahmedabad is making history! The city has been officially confirmed as the host of the 2030 Commonwealth Games in Ahmedabad will showcase India's

of the 2030 Commonwealth Games, a momentous occasion for India. This prestigious event will not only showcase Ahmedabad's world-class infrastructure but also highlight India's rich cultural heritage and sporting prowess. As a World Heritage City, Ahmedabad is already renowned for its unique blend of traditional and modern architecture, vibrant culture, and stunning landmarks like the Jama Masjid and the Sabarmati Ashram. Hosting the Commonwealth Games will further cement its position as a premier destination for international events.

Speaking on the occasion, Union Minister of Youth Affairs and Sports Dr Mansukh Mandaviya has rightly stated that the Commonwealth

Games 2030 in Ahmedabad will bring grandeur, youth, ambition, rich culture and strong sportsmanship to the centenary Games.

This prestigious event is expected to bring numerous benefits to the city and the

development journey and its commitment to using sports as an instrument of national progress. He further added that this milestone will strengthen the vision of Vikas Bharat@2047 and further enhance India's ability to lead the world in hosting impactful, inclusive and sustainable sporting events. According to Dr Donald Rukare, President of Commonwealth Sport, the official selection of Ahmedabad as the host city of the 2030 Commonwealth Games is a defining moment for India's Commonwealth Movement and as the world's most populous country, India will bring grandeur, youth, ambition, rich culture and strong sportsmanship to the centenary Games.

(B-15 Jyoti-Kalash Society, Jodhpur Tekra, Satellite, Ahmedabad - 380 015)

Why western media acts more pious than the Pope on Venezuela raid when even Trump insists that it's all about oil

It has been fascinating to observe American media cover the US raid on Venezuela. The CNN, for instance, has no love lost for Trump. This White House and the legacy media journalists share a seemingly hostile relationship. The current US president frequently calls channels such as CNN, MSNBC or New York Times "fake news", and the administration has been notably aggressive in limiting media access to the state apparatus.

And yet, since the kidnapping of Nicolás Maduro, the so-called liberal media outlets have been running countless stories on how the Venezuelan President is a devil incarnate, implying that the POTUS was morally justified in violating Venezuela's sovereignty and decapitating the country through a show of military force.

The US military operation, codenamed 'Operation Absolute Resolve', involved bombing military installations across Venezuela, its naval and air bases, killing approximately 80 people and forcibly removing Maduro and his wife from Venezuelan territory without congressional authorization or UN Security Council approval.

While the audacious violation of sovereignty of a major South American state has drawn widespread condemnation from world leaders (never mind the squirming Europeans) who have characterized the raid as a show of latter-day imperialism without a shred of moral or legal authority, American media has been

busy employing a range of rhetorical strategies that recasts the abduction of a foreign head of state and the planned loot and plunder of Venezuela as a 'law enforcement operation' under the long arm of America's extraterritorial law.

The Editorial Board of the Wall Street Journal calls it "an act of hemispheric hygiene," pushing the imagery of disinfection, dehumanizing the Venezuelan president and comparing him with disease-bearing bug. The implication is that by toppling Maduro, Trump has done Venezuela and the region a favour.

With admirable brazenness, the WSJ goes on to write, Maduro's "capture is a demonstration of Mr. Trump's declaration to keep America's enemies from spreading chaos in the Western Hemisphere. It's the 'Trump corollary' to the Monroe Doctrine..." All of this makes the military action justified, despite cries from the left that it is illegal under international law."

'Donroe Doctrine', that WSJ refers to, is the dubious iteration of the 19th century Monroe Doctrine that sought to prevent European interference in American backyard. This new version is an attempted institutionalization of US muscle flexing in the Western Hemisphere. Trump wants a free hand to bully and extort smaller countries in the region under the guise of this new dodgy 'doctrine'. The White House, in fact, has been quite unapologetic.

An official handle of the Trump White House has been posting on social media a cartoon of Trump holding

a club that says "DONROE Doctrine" as he is stomping on the Western Hemisphere. There is no space for ambiguity.

And yet, while the US President has been acting like a Mafioso, more-pious-than-the-Pope American media has been at the forefront in attaching strategic legitimacy to the extortorion behaviour of a gangster regime in the White House. The spectre is fascinating!

If you think Trump's leader-change operation through raw coercive force in Venezuela is a flagrant violation of all international rules and laws, WSJ columnist Walter Russell Mead is here to tell you that heads of states, at least those in the Western Hemisphere, should get used to the fact that they have no agency or sovereignty, and are nothing but seat-warmers until Trump politely asks them to leave. According to Mead, resisting Trump is not only futile, but a serious mistake.

"Operation Absolute Resolve" demonstrated again that the worst mistake a world leader can make is to underestimate Donald Trump. Immured in his Brooklyn cell, Nicolás Maduro will have ample time to

reflect on how much better his life would have been had he taken Washington's warnings seriously and slipped into a luxurious exile.

In Cuba, Nicaragua and beyond, other leaders will ask themselves whether the time has come for a graceful retirement."

Trump is adamant that he wants the oil.

He has long been eyeing Venezuela's vast energy reserves.

He cares two hoots about political correctness.

In a press conference post the raid, a triumphant Trump told reporters, "We're going to be taking out a tremendous amount of wealth out of the ground" and the money made would go to American oil companies and "to the United States in the form of reimbursement for the damages caused us by that country."

But the media insists otherwise.

WSJ editorial writes, "Mr. Trump talked about 'the oil' far too much, which sends a message that the US purpose is largely mercenary. Venezuela will

benefit if US oil companies modernize the country's decrepit oil production facilities. But the US doesn't need Venezuelan oil."

The American media has no compunctions with Trump's imperial gangsterism. It probably feels a slight discomfort at his blatant explicitness. Take the oil, just don't talk about it too much. Trump insists he wants nothing but the oil, but WSJ would persuade us otherwise. "The greatest benefit of a democratic, pro-American Venezuela is what it means for freedom and stability in the region," says the editorial. One must doff the hat at the unbridled shamelessness. To give Trump his due, he is at least more honest about his purpose.

Whatever objections have been raised are mostly procedural, without calling into question the act of colonial aggression displayed by a predatory US President who talks and acts like a mafia boss. It is a spectacular curving of narrative to confer legitimacy on a patently thuggish and illegitimate raid that violates several UN charters and even American laws.

And yet on to the American media has fallen the heavy task of relentless propaganda to sanitize the operation and frame it within the context of national security. They must, because Trump himself has no moral compunctions over his smash and grab tactics to steal Venezuelan oil or abducting Maduro. In his long and controversial career as a real estate magnet, a reality TV star and a debauch whose name has been inalienably associated with a paedophile, Trump has led a uniquely amoral life

unrestrained by ethical considerations, feelings of guilt, regret or moral discomfort.

The US Department of Justice under Pam Bondi has been stitching up an elaborate fable to bring criminal charges against a foreign head of state, but Trump hasn't bothered with any justifications. Venezuela possesses world's biggest oil reserves, about 17%, and Trump wants to control the trade. And for good reason.

The US possesses a clutch of heavy-oil refineries along the Gulf coast that have fallen on hard days because they were meant to process dense crude, the likes of which abound in Venezuela. America's shale oil is lighter and doesn't need such processing, which is why America, being one of the largest oil producers in world, has had to import heavy crude to feed its refineries. Not anymore.

As The Guardian notes quoting an energy expert, "Most of Venezuela's crude supply is heavy, sour oil, which if you're a US refiner is one of the most ideal grades of crude you could ask for." Trump's raid on Venezuela and extraction of the unctuous, semi-solid tar could be just what the doctor ordered for the struggling refineries.

He wants the oil, and if the new Venezuelan president doesn't give him "total access" to "oil and to other things in their country", then acting President Delcy Rodríguez will "pay a very big price, probably bigger than Maduro."

NANDINI TEXCOM (INDIA) LIMITED

CIN: L65910GJ1994PLC021165

Reg. Office: B-304, International Commerce Center, Near Kadiwala School, Ring Road, Surat-395002.
Tel: 0261-4004596; Email: nandinitexcom@hotmail.com; website: nandinitex.com

EXTRACT OF STATEMENT OF STANDALONE UNAUDITED FINANCIAL RESULTS FOR THE QUARTER AND NINE MONTHS ENDED 31ST DECEMBER 2025 (Rs. in Lacs)

PARTICULARS	QUARTER ENDED	9 MONTHS ENDED	QUARTER ENDED
	31-12-2025	31-12-2025	
Un-audited	Un-audited	Un-audited	Un-audited
1. Total Income from Operations	1,240.78	4,198.56	1,192.92
2. Net Profit / (Loss) for the period before tax	46.51	80.97	(6.87)
3. Net Profit / (Loss) for the period after tax	46.51	80.97	(6.87)
4. Total Comprehensive Income for the Period	-	-	-
5. Equity Share Capital	500.01	500.01	500.01
6. Reserve excluding Revaluation Reserve	-	-	-
7. Earnings Per Share (of Rs. 10/- each) (for continuing and discontinued operations):			
1. Basic	0.93	1.62	(0.14)
2. Diluted	0.93	1.62	(0.14)

Note: The above is an extract of the detailed format of Unaudited Financial Results filed with the Stock Exchange under Regulation 33 of the SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015. The full format of the said Financial Results is available on the Stock Exchange website <https://www.mseb.in/> and Company's website at <https://nandinitex.com>



FOR, NANDINI TEXCOM (INDIA) LIMITED
SD/-
ASHOKKUMAR TEKRIWAL
Managing Director
DIN : 00086179

Date: February 02, 2026
Place: Ahmedabad

(Authorised Officer)
ICICI Bank Limited

Place : Surat
Date : 31st January, 2026

